Top quark spin in ep collision

S. Atağ* and B. D. Şahin†
Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, Ankara University, 06100 Tandogan, Ankara, Turkey

We discuss the degree of spin polarization of single top quarks produced via Wg fusion process in ep collision at TESLA+HERAp and CLIC+LHC energies $\sqrt{s} = 1.6$ and 5.3 TeV. A description on how to combine the cross sections of $e^+b \to t\bar{\nu}$ and $e^+g \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}$ processes is given. e^+-beam direction is taken to be the favorite top quark spin decomposition axis in its rest frame and it is found to be comparable with the ones in pp collision. It is argued that theoretical simplicity and experimental clearness are the advantage of ep collision.

PACS numbers: 14.65.Ha, 13.88.+e

arXiv:hep-ph/0312115v1 9 Dec 2003

I. INTRODUCTION

The large mass of the top quark implies that its weak decay time is much shorter than the typical time for the strong interactions to affect its spin [1]. Within the standard model, the dominant decay chain of the top quark is $t \to W^+b\,(W \to \ell^+\nu,\ \bar{d}u)$. The angular distribution of the top decay given below is a simple expression to clarify the correlations between the top quark decay products and the top spin:

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_T}\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha\cos\theta) \tag{1}$$

Here θ is defined as the angle between top quark decay products and top quark spin quantization axis in the rest frame of the top quark. For ℓ or $\bar{d}$ the correlation coeficient $\alpha = 1$ which leads to the strongest correlation. Therefore, it is expected that the study of the single production of top quark will give a useful tool to understand the standard model mechanism for electroweak symmetry and coupling of the top quark to other particles. There are many detailed discussions in the literature for the single top production and spin correlations in pp and $p\bar{p}$ collisions [2, 3].

The purpose of this work is to describe polarization of the top quarks along the direction of various spin bases for the single production in ep collision via $e^+b \to t\bar{\nu}$ and $e^+g \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}$ processes.

It is hoped that the linear e^+e^- collider can be converted into an ep collider as an additional option when linear collider is constructed on the same base as the proton ring. Linear collider design TESLA at DESY is the one which has this option called TESLA+HERAp ep collider [4]. Similar option would be considered for CLIC+LHC at CERN. We will take into account the estimations about the energy and luminosity of these colliders as $\sqrt{s_{ep}} = 1.6$ TeV ($E_p = 800, E_e = 800$ GeV), $L_{ep} = 10^{31}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ for TESLA+HERAp and $\sqrt{s_{ep}} = 5.3$ TeV ($E_p = 7000, E_e = 1000$ GeV) , $L_{ep} = 10^{32}\ cm^{-2}s^{-1}$ for CLIC+LHC.

At $pp(\bar{p})$ colliders top quark decay products occur in both the $t\bar{t}$ and the single t production modes. In the case of pair production, there is potentially observable angular correlations among the decay products arising from the fact that spin up top quark is more likely to be produced along with a spin down top antiquark. The size of these 2-particle correlations tends to be smaller in gg collisions than in $q\bar{q}$ collisons. Because the dominant contribution to top quark pair production is due to the gg initial state, the predicted 2-particle correlations are rather small [5]. The single top quark production has the electroweak process with produced top quarks couples to a W boson. That is why we expect strong spin polarization of top quarks. But single top decay products in the final states give smaller statistics when compared to pair production. Therefore, a sophisticated signal analysis needs to be performed at $pp(\bar{p})$ colliders.

In ep collision top quark decay products in the final states are dominated by the single t production due to absence of the $t\bar{t}$ production. This is a great advantage that the single top production is a result of primarily electroweak process. Therefore, it is anticipated that the ep colliders with linear electron (or positron) beams are appropriate choice for investigating top quark spin polarization.

*atag@science.ankara.edu.tr
†dilec@science.ankara.edu.tr

II. CROSS SECTIONS FOR SINGLE PRODUCTION OF POLARIZED TOP QUARK

The calculation of single top quark production cross section is based on the Wg fusion process with $e^+g \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}$ diagram. These diagrams are dominated by the configuration where the final state $\bar{b}$ quark is nearly collinear with the incoming gluon. If b quark mass is neglected the cross section becomes singular. Furthermore, at each order in the strong coupling, there are logarithmically enhanced contributions, converting the perturbation expansion from a series in α_s to one in $\alpha_s(\mu^2)\ln(\mu^2/m_b^2)$. Here $\mu^2 = Q^2 + m_t^2$ and Q^2 is the virtuality of the W boson. The slow convergence of this original perturbation expansion caused by the large logarithms can be absorbed into the b quark distribution function by resumming the terms to all orders. Once the b quark parton distribution function has been introduced [6], one should begin with $2 \to 2$ process such as $e^+b \to t\bar{\nu}$. The $2 \to 3$ process still can be included as a correction to the $2 \to 2$ process. Because the logarithmic part of the $2 \to 3$ process is already covered by b quark parton distribution function used to compute $2 \to 2$ process, it is necessary to subtract the overlap region of these two diagrams to avoid double counting[7]. So, combined cross section becomes

$$[\sigma(eb \to t\bar{\nu}) + \sigma(eg \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}) - \sigma(g \to b\bar{b} * eb \to t\bar{\nu})] \tag{2}$$

where the subtracted term is the gluon splitting piece of the cross section for $eg \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}$.

For the main process $e^+b \to t\bar{\nu}$ spin dependent squared amplitude is given by

$$|M|^2 = \frac{2g_w^4}{(q^2 - M_W^2)^2}(p_b \cdot p_\nu)[p_e \cdot p_t - m_t\, p_e \cdot s_t] \tag{3}$$

where momentum of the each particle is represented by its symbol. The momentum transfer q and top quark spin vector are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} q &= p_b - p_t \\ s_t^\mu &= (\frac{\vec{p}_t \cdot \vec{s'}}{m_t}\,,\, \vec{s'} + \frac{\vec{p}_t \cdot \vec{s'}}{m_t(E_t + m_t)}\vec{p}_t) \\ (s_t^\mu)_{R.S.} &= (0, \vec{s'}) \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

with R.S. stands for rest system of the top quark.

For the correction to $2 \to 2$ process Wg fusion process $e^+g \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}$ we write the amplitude as a sum of the contribution from each diagram:

$$|M|^2 = |M_1|^2 + |M_2|^2 + |M_{int}|^2 \tag{5}$$

$$|M_1|^2 = \frac{g_W^4 g_s^2}{(q_1^2 - M_W^2)^2(q_2^2 - m_b^2)^2}\ A_1 \tag{6}$$

$$|M_2|^2 = \frac{g_W^4 g_s^2}{(q_1^2 - M_W^2)^2(q_3^2 - m_t^2)^2}\ A_2 \tag{7}$$

$$|M_{int}|^2 = \frac{g_W^4 g_s^2}{(q_1^2 - M_W^2)^2(q_2^2 - m_b^2)(q_3^2 - m_t^2)}\ A_{int} \tag{8}$$

$$\tag{9}$$

where M_1, M_2 and M_{int} correspond diagrams with b quark exchange, $\bar{t}$ quark exchange and their interference, respectively. Reduced amplitudes A_1, A_2 and A_{int} are

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 = \quad & (p_\nu \cdot p_b)(-p_b \cdot p_g\, p_t \cdot p_e + m_t\, p_b \cdot p_g\, p_e \cdot s_t - m_b^2\, p_t \cdot p_e + m_t\, m_b^2\, p_e \cdot s_t) \\ & +(p_\nu \cdot p_g)(p_b \cdot p_g\, p_t \cdot p_e - m_t\, p_b \cdot p_g\, p_e \cdot s_t + m_b^2\, p_t \cdot p_e - m_t\, m_b^2\, p_e \cdot s_t) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_2 = \quad & (p_\nu \cdot p_b)(-p_t \cdot p_e\, p_t \cdot p_g + m_t\, p_t \cdot p_e\, p_g \cdot s_t - m_t^2\, p_t \cdot p_e + p_t \cdot p_g\, p_e \cdot p_g \\ & -m_t\, p_e \cdot p_g\, p_g \cdot s_t + m_t^2\, p_e \cdot p_g + m_t^3\, p_e \cdot s_t) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{int} = \quad & (p_\nu \cdot p_b)(2\, p_b \cdot p_t\, p_t \cdot p_e - p_b \cdot p_t\, p_e \cdot p_g - 2\, m_t\, p_b \cdot p_t\, p_e \cdot s_t \\
& + p_b \cdot p_e\, p_t \cdot p_g - m_t\, p_b \cdot p_e\, p_g \cdot s_t + m_t\, p_b \cdot s_t\, p_e \cdot p_g) \\
& + (p_\nu \cdot p_t)(p_b \cdot p_g\, p_t \cdot p_e - m_t\, p_b \cdot p_g\, p_e \cdot s_t) \\
& + (p_\nu \cdot p_g)(-p_b \cdot p_t\, p_t \cdot p_e + m_t\, p_b \cdot p_t\, p_e \cdot s_t)
\end{aligned} \tag{12}
$$

$$
q_1 = p_e - p_\nu \ , \quad q_2 = p_g - p_b \ , \quad q_3 = p_t - p_g \ . \tag{13}
$$

Gluon splitting part of the cross section that we should subtract can be written as follows

$$
\sigma(g \to b\bar{b} * eb \to t\bar{\nu}) = \int_{m_t^2/s}^{1} \hat{\sigma}(eb \to t\bar{\nu}) f_{b/p}^{LO}(x, \mu^2) dx \tag{14}
$$

where $f_{b/p}^{LO}$ is the probability for a gluon to split into $b\bar{b}$ pair at leading order

$$
f_{b/p}^{LO}(x, \mu^2) = \frac{\alpha_s(\mu^2)}{2\pi} \ln(\mu^2/m_b^2) \int_x^1 \frac{dz}{z} P_{b/g}(z) f_{g/p}(x/z, \mu^2) \tag{15}
$$

with splitting function

$$
P_{b/g}(z) = \frac{1}{2}[z^2 + (1-z)^2]. \tag{16}
$$

In splitting procedure the same QCD factorization scale should be considered as the one used in the parton distribution functions. All of the cross sections presented in this paper have been computed using MRST2002 parton distribution functions [8] and running α_s [9]. Considering combination, we obtain unpolarized total cross section of single top quark production 3.2 pb at TESLA+HERAp and 32.5 pb at CLIC+LHC.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Let us now discuss the spin of the top quarks produced with $e^+b \to t\bar{\nu}$ process ($2 \to 2$ contribution) at TESLA+HERAp and CLIC+LHC energies $\sqrt{s} = 1.6$ and 5.3 TeV. In the zero momentum frame(ZMF) of the initial state partons t quark and antineutrino go out back to back. Since they couple to a W boson, in the initial state b quark has left handed chirality and positron right handed chirality. Their chiralities imply left and right handed helicities due to their high speed. Because of the angular momentum conservation outgoing t quark has both left and right handed helicity component. In this frame, it is shown from Table I and Table II that t quark is left handed 95% and 97% of the time for TESLA+HERAp and CLIC+LHC, respectively. Helicity of the particle with large mass is highly frame dependent because the speed of t quark is not ultrarelativistic at the energy region of ep colliders. So, in the laboratory frame of TESLA+HERAp and CLIC+LHC it is right handed 80% and 62% of the time.

In the case of $e^+g \to t\bar{b}\bar{\nu}$ process ($2 \to 3$) additional third particle in the final state shares the momentum, then the fraction of left handed helicity component decreases in the ZMF which is left handed only 76%(77%) of the time at TESLA+HERAp (CLIC+LHC) energies. It is right handed 77% and 62% of the time in the laboratory(LAB) frame of TESLA+HERAp and CLIC+LHC.

It should be pointed out that the overlap region needs an extra discussion. There are two possibilities to define ZMF which are in terms of either eg or eb initial states. As explained above helicity of the top quark is not invariant under longitudinal boosts connecting these two frames. Therefore, it is not possible to define ZMF uniqely. A further discussion concerning its experimental side can be found in Ref. [2] for pp collision.

For a spin basis whose definition does not depend on the existence of a ZMF, we would think of antineutrino direction as the decomposition axis of the top quark spin in theoretical point of view. Since the spin direction is defined in the rest frame of the top quark, choosing antineutrino axis is almost equivalent to observing the helicity of the t quark in the frame where antineutrino and t quark are back to back for $2 \to 2$ process. In this case we obtain spin up contribution with 97% of the time which is higher degree of polarization than ZMF helicity basis for TESLA+HERAp because of right handed property of the antineutrino. At CLIC+LHC energy, fractions with left

TABLE I: Dominant spin fractions and asymmetries for the various top quark spin bases in the production of single top process with Wg fusion channel at $\sqrt{s} = 1.6$ TeV TESLA+HERAp energy. Contributions from each $2 \to 2$ and $2 \to 3$ processes and combination of them are listed.

basis	2→2	2→3	overlap	total	$\frac{N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow}{N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow}$
LAB helicity	80%(R)	77%(R)	79%(R)	77%(R)	0.54
ZMF helicity	95%(L)	76%(L)	undefined	undefined	undefined
e-beam	95%↑	90%↑	93%↑	92%↑	0.84
Antineutrino	97%↑	93%↑	96%↑	95%↑	0.89

TABLE II: Dominant spin fractions and asymmetries for the various top quark spin bases in the production of single top process with Wg fusion channel at $\sqrt{s} = 5.3$ TeV CLIC+LHC energy. Contributions from each $2 \to 2$ and $2 \to 3$ processes and combination of them are listed.

basis	2→2	2→3	overlap	total	$\frac{N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow}{N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow}$
LAB helicity	62%(R)	62%(R)	62%(R)	60%(R)	0.20
ZMF helicity	97%(L)	77%(L)	undefined	undefined	undefined
e-beam	94%↑	88%↑	92%↑	90%↑	0.79
Antineutrino	97%↑	90%↑	94%↑	92%↑	0.84

handed helicity in ZMF and with spin up in antineutrino direction are both 97% . In the $2 \to 3$ case 93% of top quarks are produced with spin up in this basis for TESLA+HERAp and 90% for CLIC+LHC. Combination of $2 \to 2$ and $2 \to 3$ processes with subtraction gives 95%(92%) fraction of spin up quarks at TESLA+HERAp (CLIC+LHC) energy. In experimental point of view, the antineutrino is invisible and we need something visible to make the basis definition. Fortunately, such an alternate definition is possible. The outgoing antineutrino is only slightly deflected from the incoming positron direction in $2 \to 2$ or $2 \to 3$ process, and so it is interesting to consider $e^+ - beam$ line to define the spin basis. Then we find overall fraction of the spin up top quarks as 92% which is close to the one in the antineutrino axis at TESLA+HERAp collider. This becomes 90% at CLIC+LHC collider. In tables and figure, we have kept results from the antineutrino direction for theoretical motivation.

Another quantity concerning the spin-induced angular correlations is the spin asymmetry

$$A_{\uparrow\downarrow} = \frac{N_\uparrow - N_\downarrow}{N_\uparrow + N_\downarrow} \tag{17}$$

In the case where spin-up and spin-down top quarks are both present spin asymmetry appears in the differential distribution of the decay angle presented in the first section

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma_T}\frac{d\Gamma}{d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{2}(1 + A_{\uparrow\downarrow}\alpha\cos\theta) \tag{18}$$

The last column of the Table I shows that antineutrino or e^+-beam basis improves the asymmetry a factor of 1.6 when compared to LAB helicity system. From Table II we see that this factor takes the value of 4. Clearly, it is easier to observe angular correlation as the asymmetry increases. Comparison of Table I and Table II provides that the fraction of top quarks produced with right handed helicity in LAB helicity frame gets smaller values as the center of mass energy of ep system gets larger.

It is useful to plot the top quark P_T distributions to compare different spin bases. Contributions from dominant spin components in LAB helicity right, e-beam up, antineutrino up states and unpolarized case(Total) are shown in Fig. 1 for TESLA+HERAp energy and Fig. 2 for CLIC+LHC energy, using the combination of $2 \to 2$ and $2 \to 3$ processes. Similar features in tables are reflected as in figures too.

In conclusion, we have shown that the single top quarks produced in the Wg fusion channel in ep collision at energies $\sqrt{s} = 1.6$ and 5.3 TeV are used to observe their high degree spin polarization along the direction of positron beam in the top quark rest frame. The TESLA+HERAp gives better results leading higher spin polarization than CLIC+LHC. Although ep and pp colliders are comparable in terms of high spin polarization, the Wg fusion channel in ep collision is expected to be much less complicated theoretically and experimentally than the case in pp collision.

It is anticipated that next-to-leading order corrections to Wg fusion will improve the results given in Table I and Table II.



FIG. 1: Transverse momentum P_T distributions of the singly produced top quarks via Wg fusion at TESLA+HERAp enegy $\sqrt{s} = 1.6$ TeV. Dominant spin bases LAB helicity right, e-beam up, antineutrino up and unpolarized(Total) case are drawn. Combination of $2 \to 2$ and $2 \to 3$ processes are considered.

The experimental conditions to observe the spin induced angular correlations with cuts and detector environments still need to be discussed before a firm decision.

[1] I.Bigi, Y. Dokshitzer, V. Khoze, J. Kühn and P. Zerwas, Phys. Lett. **B181** (1986)157.
[2] G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Lett. **B476** (2000)323;
[3] T.M.P. Tait, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000)034001; A.S. Belyaev, E.E. Boos and L.V. Dudko Phys. Rev. **D59** (1999)075001; T. Stelzer, Z. Sullivan and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. **D58** (1998)094021; G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Lett. **B411** (1997)173; A.P. Heinson, A.S. Belyaev, E.E. Boos, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997)3114; G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Rev. **D55** (1997)7249; S. Parke and Y. Shadmi, Phys. Lett. **B387** (1996)199.
[4] H. Abramowicz *et al.*, THERA Collaboration, A contribution to the TESLA Technical Design Report, TESLA TDR, Appendices, Chapter 2, DESY-01-011FB,2001.
[5] G. Mahlon and S. Parke, Phys. Rev. **D53** (1996)4886.
[6] F. Olness and W.-K. Tung, Nucl. Phys. **B308** (1988)813; R. Barret, H. Haber and D. Soper, Nucl. Phys. **B306** (1988)697; M.A.G. Aivazis, J.C. Collins, F.I. Olness and W.-K. Tung, Phys. Rev. **D50** (1994)3102.
[7] T. Stelzer, Z. Sullivan and S. Willenbrock, Phys. Rev. **D56** (1997)5919; F. Anselmo, B. van Eijk and G. Bordes, Phys. Rev. **D45** (1992)2312; G. Bordes and B. van Eijk, Z. Phys. **C57** (1993)81; G. Bordes and B. van Eijk, Nucl. Phys. **B435** (1995)23.
[8] A.D. Martin, R.G. Roberts, W.J. Stirling and R.S. Thorne, Eur. Phys. J. **C28** (2003)455.
[9] K. Hagiwara *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Phys. Rev. **D66** (2002)010001.



FIG. 2: Transverse momentum P_T distributions of the singly produced top quarks via Wg fusion at CLIC+LHC enegy $\sqrt{s} = 5.3$ TeV. Dominant spin bases LAB helicity right, e-beam up, antineutrino up and unpolarized(Total) case are drawn. Combination of $2 \to 2$ and $2 \to 3$ processes are considered